



STUDENT SATISFACTION WITH THE DOCTORAL PROGRAMME

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the doctoral programme using a scale of 1 (very dissatisfied) to 5 (very satisfied).

STUDENTS SATISFACTION WITH THE DOCTORAL PROGRAMME

1. PERSONAL DETAILS

1. Previous studies
 - a. Bachelor's/Master's Degree from the University of Zaragoza
 - b. Bachelor's/Master's Degree from another Spanish university
 - c. Bachelor's/Master's Degree from a foreign university
2. Study Type
 - a. Full time
 - b. Part-time
3. Thesis type
 - a. Thesis with single supervisor
 - b. Thesis with joint supervision
 - c. Thesis with 'co-tutelle' model
 - d. Thesis with international distinction
4. Source of funding

2. MAIN REASON FOR STARTING DOCTORAL STUDIES (tick up to 2 options)

5. The opportunity to do an professional academic career at the University
6. The opportunity to do a professional research career
7. Increase employability
8. Improve knowledge
9. Temporarily unemployed
10. Other

3. REASON FOR CHOOSING YOUR DOCTORAL PROGRAMME AT THE UNIVERSITY OF ZARAGOZA (tick up to 2 options)

11. Interest in the topic
12. Prestige of the research teams
13. The only offer available on this topic
14. Proximity to where I live
15. Other

4. THE THESIS PROCESS: RESEARCH PLAN (score from 1 to 5)

16. Usefulness of planning procedures (having a Research Plan)
17. Level of accomplishment of work done compared with what was stated in the research plan

5. THE THESIS PROCESS: TRAINING ACTIVITIES: TRANSVERSAL, SPECIFIC TO THE PROGRAMME (score from 1 to 5)

18. Variety of topics of the transversal activities
19. Variety of topics in activities specific to the programme
20. Usefulness of the activities for a research career
21. Other activities that you consider of interest (suggestions)

6. THE THESIS PROCESS: STAYS ABROAD (ANSWER IF LONGER THAN ONE MONTH) (score from 1 to 5)

22. Usefulness of the stay(s)
23. Quality of the time spent in the company (if applicable)
24. Quality of funding

7. THESIS SUPERVISION: SUPERVISION ORGANISATION (score from 1 to 5)

- 25. Number of appointments/work sessions with the supervisor(s)
- 26. Availability shown by the supervisor(s)
- 27. Flexibility shown by the supervisor(s) to accept the proposals made
- 28. Involvement shown by the supervisor(s)

8. THESIS SUPERVISION: QUALITY OF THE SUPERVISION (score from 1 to 5)

- 29. Degree of the supervisor's preparation of the work sessions
- 30. Relevance of the supervisor's comments
- 31. Timeliness of the guidance received from the supervisor(s)
- 32. Degree of encouragement to present the PhD work in academic/research forums

9. THE DOCTORAL PROGRAMME (ORGANISATION, INFORMATION) (score from 1 to 5)

- 33. Quality of the information given
- 34. Quality of the communication exchanges maintained with the coordinator
- 35. Quality of the academic environment for the programme
- 36. Quality of the material resources and services available to pursue the programme

10. THE DOCTORATE SCHOOL (score from 1 to 5)

- 37. Quality of the information provided on doctorate programmes
- 38. Quality of the management of the processes inherent to the PhD process
- 39. Smoothness of the communication exchanges maintained with the doctoral students

11. SCIENTIFIC RESULTS OF THE PHD STUDENT (score from 1 to 5)

- 40. Satisfaction with the number of scientific papers published in indexed journals
- 41. Satisfaction with the number of scientific papers published in other journals
- 42. Satisfaction with the number of book chapters published
- 43. Satisfaction with the number of contributions in international conferences
- 44. Satisfaction with the number of contributions in national conferences

12. OVERALL SATISFACTION (open question)

- 45. Positive aspects worth mentioning (please indicate)
 - 46. Areas for improvement (please indicate)
 - 47. Other comments or suggestions (please indicate)
 - 48. Overall satisfaction with the doctoral programme
-